Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/709,347	POOLE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Abdou Karim Seye	2194
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Abdou Karim Seye</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. G. Mack Riddle.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 April 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ No		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-40		
Prior art documents discussed: Aarts (2005/0066335)		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
(A	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The differences between the newly found reference and the claimed invention were discussed. Applicant agreed to the changes as set forth in the examiner's amendment.